

INSPECTOR GENERAL

78-1166

DD/S&T# 2721-78

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration
Deputy Director for Operations
Deputy Director for Science and Technology
Deputy Director for National Intelligence

FROM : John H. Waller
Inspector General

SUBJECT : Channels for Dissent

1. The Director has asked me to compile a list of existing channels within the Agency for employees to express "personal views on professional issues." He has also asked that I recommend the best way to make the list known to all employees.

2. The list should include descriptions of the procedures to be followed by employees who wish to make known dissenting views on operational, analytic, or organizational matters. Specific addresses and telephone extensions should be listed wherever possible. Advisory groups, special channels of communication, and provisions for the expression of dissenting views on published intelligence analysis should be included as well as any other information that is judged to come within the spirit of this requirement.

3. Your assistance in compiling this listing is requested. I would also welcome any suggestions you may have regarding publicizing the list to all employees. [redacted] of my staff is charged with assembling the required information. We would appreciate receiving your responses to this memorandum no later than c.o.b. 20 June.

STAT

STAT

John H. Waller

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

NFAC Administrative Staff
2F28 Hqs

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 June 1978

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. D/OIA
3N109

STAT

1. We received the attached only this afternoon and will need your response by opening of business on Tuesday, 20 June, in order to meet the IG's deadline.

2. Subsequent to receiving Waller's memorandum, [] was contacted to obtain a better focus on the request. In preparing the listing, NFAC offices should consider in addition to what is requested in the memorandum, any provisions for the use of footnotes in publications where there is dissent within the office on either conclusions or methodology; how an analyst may bring a dissenting view to the attention of the reader if footnoting is not practiced; what avenues of dissent are available other than the traditional chain of command (committee hearing, etc.).